

### An integer matrix with permutations.

**1827.** Proposed by Christopher Hilliar, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX.

Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix with integer entries and such that each column of  $A$  is a permutation of the first column. Prove that if the entries in the first column does not sum to 0, then this sum divides  $\det(A)$ .

*Solution by Francisco Vial (student), MT group, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile.*

*First Solution*

Suppose that  $\det(A) \neq 0$  (if  $\det(A) = 0$  there is nothing to prove). Let  $s$  be the sum of the elements of the first column. As the other columns are permutations of the first one, they all sum to  $s$ . Then, it is easy to see that if one sums all the rows to the first one, the result will be  $s, s, s, \dots, s$  in the first row, so factoring  $s$  out of the determinant proves the claim, since the remaining determinant has integer entries with 1's in the first row (i.e., its value is an integer number).

*Second Solution*

Suppose that  $\det(A) \neq 0$  (if  $\det(A) = 0$  there is nothing to prove). Let  $s$  be the sum of the elements of the first column. As the other columns are permutations of the first one, they all sum to  $s$ . Consider now  $A^T$ , the transposed matrix of  $A$ . Then the sum of each row of  $A^T$  is  $s$ . If one performs the product  $A^T(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ , then the result is evidently  $(s, s, s, \dots, s) = s(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ , i.e.,  $s$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^T$ . Let  $\mu_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $A^T$ , with  $\mu_n = s$ , then

$$\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{n-1} s = \det(A^T) = \det(A).$$

We will now prove that the product  $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{n-1}$  is an integer number. Consider the characteristic polynomial of  $A^T$ , given by

$$P(x) := |A^T - xI| = (\mu_1 - x)(\mu_2 - x) \dots (s - x).$$

From the first representation one reads that this polynomial has integer coefficients. The coefficient of  $(-x)^{n-1}$  is

$$C_{n-1} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_{n-1} + s$$

i.e.,  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_{n-1}$  is an integer.

The coefficient of  $(-x)^{n-2}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n-2} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mu_i \mu_j = s\mu_1 + s\mu_2 + \cdots + s\mu_{n-1} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \mu_i \mu_j \\ &= s(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_{n-1}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \mu_i \mu_j, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \mu_i \mu_j$  is an integer.

Moving one step further, one could show, using the last result, that  $\sum \mu_i \mu_j \mu_k$ , with  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n-1$ , is an integer.

By induction it is straightforward to prove that for every natural  $k$ ,  $k \leq n-1$ ,

$$\sum \mu_{i_1} \mu_{i_2} \cdots \mu_{i_k}$$

where the sum is cyclic and the  $i_m$ 's are all distinct, is an integer number. Taking  $k = n-1$ ,

$$\sum \mu_{i_1} \mu_{i_2} \cdots \mu_{i_{n-1}} = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{n-1}$$

is an integer number  $M$ , and the conclusion follows:

$$Ms = \det(A). \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark: We have only used the facts that all the columns sum to  $s$  and that the matrix has integer entries. The permutation hypothesis is not necessary.